arXiv:1409.6274v1 [math.NT] 22 Sep 2014

ON AN APPROXIMATE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION INVOLVING THE DIVISOR FUNCTION

ANNE-MARIA ERNVALL-HYTÖNEN

Abstract. The error term in the approximate functional equation for exponential sums involving the divisor function will be improved under certain conditions for the parameters of the approximate functional equation.

1. Introduction

Exponential sums

$$D(M_1, M_2; \alpha) = \sum_{M_1 \le n \le M_2} d(n) e(\alpha n)$$

with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$, involving the divisor function $d(n) = \sum_{d|n, d>0} 1$ are related to the exponential sums of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms. The main difference between the situations is that while the Fourier coefficients change signs, the divisor function is always positive. Therefore, one cannot obtain good general upper bounds. For instance

$$D(1, M; 0) = \sum_{1 \le n \le M} d(n) \asymp M \log M,$$

while the average estimate is much smaller:

$$\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{1 \le n \le M} d(n) e(n\alpha) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\alpha = \sum_{1 \le n \le M} d(n)^2 \asymp M \log^3 M,$$

and therefore, in average the estimate is $\sqrt{M} \log^{3/2} M$. The situation is different for the Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms: the upper bound $\sum_{n \le M} a(n) e(n\alpha) \ll M^{1/2}$ [5], is the same as the average estimate.

The approximate functional equation involving the divisor function has been studied by Jutila [3], and even earlier by Wilton [10]. Jutila's result reads as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let $M \ge 2$, $1 \le k \le M^{1/2}$ be an integer, and $0 < |\eta| \le k^{-2}$. Further assume h is a positive integer with $gcd(h, k) = 1$ and with the property $h\overline{h} \equiv 1 \bmod k$. If $k^2\eta^2 M \gg 1$, then for $M \le M_1 < M_2 \le 2M$ we have*

$$D\left(M_1, M_2; \frac{h}{k} + \eta\right) = (k|\eta|)^{-1} D\left(k^2\eta^2 M_1, k^2\eta^2 M_2; -\frac{\bar{h}}{k} - (k^2\eta)^{-1}\right) + O(M^{1/2} \log M).$$

Currently the author is working also for the University of Oulu. Approximately half of the work was done while working at the KTH in Stockholm on a grant no. 2009-721 from Vetenskapsrådet, and the rest of the work supported by an Academy of Finland grants no.138337 and 138522. Finally, the author would like to thank the anonymous referee for thorough comments.

This is sharp because, for instance, when M is a square, choosing $k=\sqrt{M}$, $h=1$ and $\eta=\frac{1}{M}$, we have

$$D\left(M, M+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}; \frac{1}{k}+\eta\right) \asymp \sqrt{M}\log M,$$

and

$$(k\eta)^{-1}D\left(\eta^2k^2M, k^2\eta^2\left(M+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{M}\right), -\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}-1\right) = d(1)e\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}-1\right)\sqrt{M} \ll \sqrt{M}.$$

However, under certain conditions, this result can be improved. The definition of a Farey sequence and a Farey approximation are needed to formulate the theorem. They can be found in Definition 4.

Theorem 2. *There exists an absolute const ant $A\geq 1$ such that for any $\varepsilon,\varepsilon'>0$ there exists some $a>0$ such that the following holds: Let c be an arbitrary positive real number; Let M,k,h be arbitrary integers satisfying $M\geq 2$, $1\leq k\leq M^{1/4}$ and $(h,k)=1$, and let η be a real number satisfying $|\eta|\leq M^{-1/4}k^{-1}$ and $k^2\eta^2M\geq c(\log M)^A$. For each $j\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ set $\Delta_j=k^2|\eta|^{3/2}M^{1/2}(k^2\eta^2M)^{\varepsilon}2^{-j}$, and let ℓ be the smallest positive integer for which $\Delta_\ell\leq c^{-1}(k^2\eta^3M)^{2/5}$. For each $j\in\{1,2,\dots,\ell\}$ we assume that the number $\beta:=-\frac{\bar{h}}{k}-(k^2\eta)^{-1}$ has a Farey approximation $\frac{h_j}{k_j}$ of order $\Delta_j^{1/2-\varepsilon'}$ which satisfies $\left|\beta-\frac{h_j}{k_j}\right|\geq c\Delta_j^{\varepsilon-1}$ or $k_j\geq c\Delta_j^{5/6}(k^2\eta^2M)^{-1/3}$. Then for any integers M_1,M_2 with $M\leq M_1<M_2\leq 2M$ we have*

$$D\left(M_1,M_2;\frac{h}{k}+\eta\right) = (k|\eta|)^{-1}D\left(k^2\eta^2M_1,k^2\eta^2M_2;-\frac{\bar{h}}{k}-(k^2\eta)^{-1}\right)+O\left(M^{1/2}(k^2\eta^2M)^{-a}\right),$$

for some positive constant a, where the implied constant depends only on $\varepsilon,\varepsilon',c$.

Remark 3. The conditions for β seem technical and possibly fairly restricting. However, it will be noted that the set of β with $0\leq\beta\leq 1$ that do not satisfy either of these conditions is of Lebesgue measure at most $O(M^{-1/4+\varepsilon})$.

This article is strongly connected to [1]. These papers also have some overlapping statements. This was impossible to avoid without sacrificing readability. Generally, this article connects techniques from that paper, and from [5].

2. Notation, preliminaries and known lemmas

From now on, let $U=\sqrt{M}|\eta|^{-1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^d$ with d a very small fixed positive number. Furthermore, we will assume that $k\ll M^{-1/4}$ and $k^2\eta^2M\gg 1$, since these are assumptions needed to prove the main result.

To shorten the notation, write $M_{-1}=M-JU$ and $M_2=M+\Delta+JU$ with J a constant. Since $k^2\eta^2M\gg 1$, we have $|\eta|^{-1/2}\ll M^{1/4}k^{1/2}$, and hence

$$U=M^{1/2}|\eta|^{-1/2}(k^2\eta^2M)^d \ll M^{1/2+1/4}k^{1/2}(k^2\eta^2M)^d \ll M^{7/8}(k^2\eta^2M)^d = o(M),$$

since d is very small.

Throughout the paper, we assume the assumptions of Theorem 2. Furthermore, a *smooth weight function* w defined on some interval, say, on interval $[M,M+\Delta]$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

(1) The support of w lies on the interval $[M,M+\Delta]$.

(2) The function w satisfies the condition $w^{(j)} \ll \Delta^{-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq P$ for some P which typically depends on the ε needed.

In practice, we will also require $w(x) = 1$ for all x on some suitable subinterval of $[M, M+\Delta]$.

Farey sequences and Farey approximations are needed throughout the article. They are defined in the following way:

Definition 4. A *Farey sequence* of order Q consists of all rational numbers $\frac{a}{b}$ on some interval I (in this paper $I = [0,1]$) with the property $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ and $1 \leq b \leq Q$. The *Farey approximation* of order Q is the approximation of a number as a sum $\frac{a}{b} + \eta$, where $\frac{a}{b}$ belongs to a Farey sequence of order Q and $|\eta| \leq \frac{1}{bQ}$.

Write $\tilde{D}(M_1, M_2, \alpha)$ for the smoothed sum:

$$\tilde{D}(M_1, M_2, \alpha) = \sum_{M_1 \leq n \leq M_2} d(n) e(\alpha n) w(n),$$

where w is a suitable smooth weight function. Sometimes it is easier to take a main term out of the sum, and consider the rest:

$$\tilde{\Delta}(M_1, M_2, \alpha) = \tilde{D}(M_1, M_2, \alpha) - k^{-1} \int_{M_1}^{M_2} (\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k)\, e(\eta x)\, w(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

This remaining part behaves a lot like exponential sums of Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms. Actually, using the word "main term" is a bit misleading here as it often happens that the remaining term is actually larger than the main term. For instance, let us consider the following expression, where w is compactly supported on the interval $[M, M+\Delta]$, an satisfies the condition $w^{(j)} \ll \Delta$ for $0 \leq j \leq P$, where P is a sufficiently large positive number, and attains the value 1 on some subinterval of length $\asymp \Delta$:

$$\tilde{\Delta}(M, M + \frac{M^{3/4}}{4}, M^{-1/2}) \asymp \tilde{D}\left(M, M + \frac{M^{3/4}}{4}, M^{-1/2}\right) \asymp M^{1/2}$$

(see [2]) but

$$k^{-1} \int_{M}^{M+\Delta} (\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k)\, e(\eta x)\, w(x) \mathrm{d}x \ll 1.$$

However, for instance

$$\sum_{n \leq M} d(n) \asymp (\log M + 2\gamma - 1) M,$$

and hence, in some very important cases this main term is also the actual, not just a symbolic, main term.

The Voronoi type transformation formula (see e.g. Theorem 1.7 [4]) gives a nice expression for the term $\tilde{\Delta}(M, M+\Delta; \alpha)$:

$$\tilde{D}(M, M+\Delta; \alpha) = k^{-1} \int_{M}^{M+\Delta} (\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k) w(x) e(\eta x) \mathrm{d}x \tag{1}$$
$$+ k^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) \int_{M}^{M+\Delta} \left(-2\pi e\left(-n\frac{\bar{h}}{k}\right) Y_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right) + 4\left(n\frac{\bar{h}}{k}\right) K_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)\right) w(x) e(\eta x) \mathrm{d}x$$

For treating oscillating integrals the following lemma by Jutila and Motohashi ([6], Lemma 6) is very helpful:

Lemma 5. *Let A be a $P \geq 0$ times differentiable function which is compactly supported in a finite interval $[a,b]$. Assume also that there exist two quantities A_0 and A_1 such that for any non-negative integer $\nu \leq P$ and for any $x \in [a,b]$,*

$$A^{(\nu)}(x) \ll A_0 A_1^{-\nu}.$$

Moreover, let B be a function which is real-valued on $[a,b]$, and regular throughout the complex domain composed of all points within the distance ϱ from the interval; and assume that there exists a quantity B_1 such that

$$0 < B_1 \ll \left|B'(x)\right|$$

for any point x in the domain. Then we have

$$\int_a^b A(x) e\left(B(x)\right) \mathrm{d}x \ll A_0 \left(A_1 B_1\right)^{-P} \left(1 + \frac{A_1}{\varrho}\right)^P (b-a).$$

For treating integrals which do not oscillate sufficiently fast, we need the saddlepoint lemma (Lemma 3 in [5]). Before that we need some definitions.

Definition 6. Define $\eta_J(x)$ such that it satisfies the following equation for any integrable funtion h:

$$V^{-J} \int_0^V \mathrm{d}u_1 \cdots \int_0^V \mathrm{d}u_J \int_{M_{-1}+u}^{M_2-u} h(x)\mathrm{d}x = \int_{M_{-1}}^{M_2} \eta_J(x) h(x) \mathrm{d}x \tag{2}$$

where $u = u_1 + \cdots + u_J$ and $V < (M_2 - M_{-1})/2J$, and define η_0 to be the characteristic function of the interval $[M_{-1}, M_2]$.

One may easily compute the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\eta}_J(\lambda) = -(i\lambda)^{J+1} \left(e^{-i\lambda U} - 1\right)^J \left(e^{-i\lambda M_2} - e^{-i\lambda M_{-1}}\right).$$

This implies that $\eta_J(x)$ is $J-1$ times differentiable.

Denote by D the complex domain consisting of points z satisfying the condition $|z - x| < \mu$ for some $x \in [M_{-1}, M_2]$, where $\mu \asymp M_{-1}$.

Lemma 7 (Saddle-point lemma)**.** *Let $F \gg M_{-1}^{\varepsilon}$, G and V be positive constants. Let f be a real function such that*

$$f'(x) \ll \frac{F}{M_{-1}}, \ f''(x) > 0, \ \left|f''(x)\right| \asymp F M_{-1}^2 \text{ for } x \in [M_{-1}, M_2].$$

Let g be a holomorphic function with $g(z) \ll G$ in the domain D. Denote the characteristic function of

$$(M_{-1}, M_{-1} + JV) \bigcup (M_2 - JV, M_2) \tag{3}$$

by $\delta(x)$. Let x_0 be the (possibly existing) zero of $f'(x) + \iota$ in the interval (M_{-1}, M_2), and suppose that $V \gg \delta(x_0) F^{-1/2} M_{-1}$. Write

$$E_J(x) = \frac{G}{\left(|f'(x) + \iota| + F^{1/2}/M_{-1}\right)^{J+1}}.$$

Then

$$\int_{M_{-1}}^{M_2} \eta_J g(x) e\left(f(x)+\iota x\right) \mathrm{d}x = \xi_J(x_0) g(x_0) f''(x_0)^{-1/2} e\left(f(x_0)+\iota x_0+1/8\right)$$
$$+ O\left(\left(1+\delta(x_0)F^{1/2}\right) G M_{-1} F^{-3/2}\right) + O\left(V^{-J}\sum_{j=0}^{J}\left(E_J\left(M_{-1}+jV\right)+E_J\left(M_2-jV\right)\right)\right),$$

where ξ_J is a bounded function on (M_{-1}, M_2) with the following properties:

- *$\xi_J(x) = 1$ on $(M_{-1}+JV, M_2-JV)$*
- *$\xi_J'(x)$ is continuous and $\xi_J'(x) \ll V^{-J}$ on the set (3), except possibly at the points $M_{-1}+jV$, M_2-jV, $j=1,\dots,J-1$.*

If x_0 does not exist, then the terms and conditions involving x_0 are to be omitted.

3. Technical lemmas

Lemma 8. *Let $|\eta| \gg \frac{1}{\Delta^{1-\varepsilon}}$, and $w(x)$ be a smooth weight function satisfying the condition $w^{(j)} \ll \Delta^{-j}$ for $j \le P$ for a sufficiently large P. Then*

$$k^{-1}\int_{M}^{M+\Delta} \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k\right) e\left(\eta x\right) w(x) \mathrm{d}x \ll k^{-1}\log M.$$

Proof. Let us use partial integration P times to obtain

$$\int_{M}^{M+\Delta} \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k\right) e\left(\eta x\right) w(x) \mathrm{d}x \ll \log M \Delta^{1-P}\eta^{-P} \ll 1.$$

□

The following lemma will be formulated in the general case, but in practice it will be used when $|\eta|$ is too small for the use of the previous lemma.

Lemma 9. *Let $\Delta \ll k^{6/5}M^{2/5}$. Then*

$$k^{-1}\int_{M}^{M+\Delta} \left(\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k\right) e\left(\eta x\right) \mathrm{d}x \ll \Delta^{1/6} M^{1/3+\varepsilon}$$

Proof. The proof is very simple. Just estimate using absolute values, and use the assumption for Δ. □

Lemma 10. *We have*

$$k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) e\left(\frac{n\bar{h}}{k}\right) \int_{M}^{M+\Delta} K_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right) e\left(\eta x\right) \mathrm{d}x \ll M^{-3/8}.$$

Proof. Let us first use the asymptotic bound for the K-Bessel function (see e.g. [8] (5.11.9)):

$$K_0(z) \ll \left(\frac{\pi}{2z}\right)^{1/2} e^{-z}.$$

We have

$$k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e\left(\frac{n\bar{h}}{k}\right)\int_M^{M+\Delta} K_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)e(\eta x)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$\ll \left|k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e\left(\frac{n\bar{h}}{k}\right)\int_M^{M+\Delta}\left(\frac{k}{8\sqrt{nx}}\right)^{1/2} e^{-4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}}e(\eta x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right|$$
$$\ll k^{-1/2}\Delta M^{-1/4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\varepsilon-1/4}e^{-4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nM}}{k}} \ll k^{-1/2}\Delta M^{-1/4}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{nM}}\right)^4 n^{-1/4}$$
$$\ll k^{7/2}\Delta M^{-1/4-2} \ll M^{-1/4-2+1+7/8} \ll M^{-3/8}.$$

This proves the lemma □

4. Estimates for short sums

We are interested in getting good estimates for short linear sums, but in order to get those, we need estimates for short non-linear sums. We will start by quoting a result which is probably not very well known.

Theorem 11. *Let $\eta, B \in \mathbb{R}$, and denote $F = |B|M^{1/2}$. We assume that $M^2 \ll \Delta F$. Let*

$$f(z) = \eta z + Bz^{1/2}.$$

Let $g \in C^1[M, M+\Delta]$ and

$$||g||_\infty \leq G, ||g'||_\infty \leq G'.$$

Then

$$\sum_{M\leq n\leq M+\Delta} d(m)g(m)e(f(m)) \ll \left(\frac{\Delta}{M}\right)^{5/6}(G+\Delta G')M^{1/2}F^{1/3+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. The full proof can be found in Karppinen's licentiate thesis [7]. However, as that particular work is fairly difficult to come by, and available only in Finnish, I briefly tell what modifications have to be done to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [2].

For simplicity, assume that $g(x) = 1$. Use Theorem 3.3 of [4]. Most of the terms can be treated similarly. However, with divisor function, we have to deal with a main term:

$$F^{-1/2}M\log F\sum_{r\in I}\frac{1}{k} \ll \Delta^{2/3}M^{-1/6}F^{1/6+\varepsilon},$$

where I corresponds the same Farey partitioning as in the original proof. The second difference is the error term arising from a change of a condition in the original theorem (cusp forms versus the divisor function). This gives an error of size $O\left(\Delta^{2/3}M^{1/2}F^{-5/6+\varepsilon}\right)$ □

Finally, we may formulate the estimate for short linear sums. This theorem is similar to Theorem 5.1 [2], and there are very few differences in proofs.

Theorem 12. *Let $1 \leq \Delta \leq M$, let the Farey approximation of order $\Delta^{1/2-\varepsilon_2}$ of a parameter α be $\alpha = \frac{h}{k} + \eta$, where $|\eta| \gg \frac{1}{\Delta^{1-\varepsilon}}$ or $\Delta \ll k^{6/5}M^{2/5}$, and ε_2 is a sufficiently small fixed positive real number depending only on ε. Let $w(x)$ be s smooth weight function defined on the interval $[M, M+\Delta]$ and satisfying the condition $w^{(j)}(x) \ll \Delta^{-j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq P$, where P is a large positive integer depending on ε.*

(1) *If* $|\eta| \leq \Delta^{-1+\varepsilon_2}$, *then*

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) \ll M^{1/3+\varepsilon}\Delta^{1/6}$$

(2) *Otherwise, further assuming*

$$\Delta \ll \frac{\sqrt{M}}{\sqrt{|\eta|}},$$

we have

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) \ll M^{1/3+\varepsilon}\Delta^{1/6} + k^{-1}\Delta\,|\eta|^{-1/2}\,M^{-1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Proof is similar to [2], but requires the use of Lemmas 8 and 9 for treating the main term. Furthermore, the current second term $k^{-1}\Delta\,|\eta|^{-1/2}\,M^{-1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{\varepsilon}$ is slightly better than the original second term $k^{-1}\Delta\,|\eta|^{-1/2}\,M^{-1/2}M^{\varepsilon}$. This improvement is already present in the formulation of the theorem in [1], and this comes from the fact, that this term corresponds to the case when there is one term in the Voronoi type summation formula that does not oscillate much, and in this case this term is just estimated using absolute values. Now, the Fourier coefficient of this term, say $a(n_0)$ corresponds to some value of n_0 with $n_0 \asymp k^2\eta^2 M$, and therefore, we have $a(n_0) \ll (k^2\eta^2 M)^{\varepsilon}$ instead of the originally used weaker bound $a(n_0) \ll M^{\varepsilon}$. □

Corollary 13. *With the assumptions of the previous theorem, and the additional assumption* $\Delta \ll M^{5/8}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{M \leq n \leq M+\Delta} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) \ll M^{1/3+\varepsilon}\Delta^{1/6}.$$

Proof. If $|\eta| \ll \Delta^{\varepsilon-1}$, then this is the special case of the previous theorem. If $|\eta| \gg \Delta^{-1+\varepsilon}$, then also $|\eta| \gg \Delta^{-1}$, and the result follows by a simple manipulation:

$$k^{-1}\Delta\,|\eta|^{-1/2}\,M^{-1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{\varepsilon} \ll \Delta\,|\eta|^{-1/2}\,M^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \ll \Delta^{3/2}M^{-1/2+\varepsilon} \ll \Delta^{1/6}M^{1/3+\varepsilon}.$$

□

The assumptions of the theorem and the corollary may look fairly restricting, but actually, a very large proportion of all $\alpha \in [0,1]$ satisfy the conditions when $\Delta = o\left(M^{1-\delta_1}\right)$ for any fixed $\delta_1 > 0$: The measure of the set of α which do no satisfy the conditions can be easily estimated. If $\alpha = \frac{h}{k} + \eta$ does not satisfy the conditions, then

$$|\eta| \ll \frac{1}{\Delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \text{ and } k \ll \Delta^{5/6}M^{-1/3}.$$

Now the measure of this set is for any given k at most

$$\ll \frac{k}{\Delta^{1-\varepsilon}},$$

and now summing over the values of k:

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \ll \Delta^{5/6}M^{-1/3}} \frac{k}{\Delta^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll (\Delta^{5/6}M^{-1/3})^2\Delta^{\varepsilon-1} \ll M^{-2/3}\Delta^{\varepsilon+2/3},$$

and hence, the measure of the set of $\alpha \in [0,1]$ not satisfying the conditions is at most $\Delta^{\varepsilon+2/3}M^{-2/3}$, which is small when $\Delta = o\left(M^{1-\delta_1}\right)$ for any fixed positive δ_1, because the ε can be required to be smaller than this δ_1.

This corollary and Lemmas 8 and 9 together give an estimate for short smoothed sums (notice that even though Lemma 9 is formulated for non-smoothed sums, this does not affect anything, because in the proof of the lemma, we only used absolute values). However, under certain conditions the weight function can be removed:

Theorem 14. *Let $\Delta \ll M^{5/8}$ and let α have Farey approximations $\frac{h_j}{k_j} + \eta_j$ of orders*

$$\Delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \Delta^{1/2-\varepsilon}, \ldots, M^{2/5-\delta}$$

with $|\eta_j| \gg \Delta_j^{1-\varepsilon}$ or $\Delta_j \ll k_j^{6/5}M^{2/5}$ where Δ_j stands for $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \Delta$. Then

$$\sum_{M \le n \le M+\Delta} d(n) e\left(\frac{h}{k} + \eta\right) \ll \Delta^{1/6} M^{1/3+\varepsilon}.$$

Conditions again look fairly technical and restricting. However, we may show that the measure of set of $\alpha \in [0,1]$ not satisfying these conditions is small: We proved earlier that for any Δ, the measure of the set of $\alpha \in [0,1]$ which do not satisfy the conditions, is $O\left(\Delta^{2/3+\varepsilon}M^{-2/3}\right)$. Using this estimate and dyadic intervals, we obtain that also here the total measure of the set of α not satisfying the conditions is $O\left(\Delta^{2/3+\varepsilon}M^{-2/3}\right)$. Since $\Delta \ll M^{5/8}$, this yields $O\left(M^{-1/4+\varepsilon}\right)$ to be the total measure of the set for which the corollary does not hold.

Proof. Proof is the similar to the proof of 5.5 [2]. However, we sketch for sake of completeness. Also, some typos are fixed here. Let $\ell > 0$. Write

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_0 = \frac{2\Delta}{5} \\ \Delta_1 = \frac{5\Delta_0}{8} \\ \Delta_\ell = \frac{\Delta_{\ell-1}}{2}, \text{ when } \ell \ge 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} M_0 = M + \frac{\Delta}{2} - \frac{\Delta}{5} \\ M_\ell = M_0 + \frac{3\Delta_0}{4} + \frac{4}{5}\sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1}\Delta_i \end{cases}$$

Consider the set of weight functions $\{w_{\pm\ell} \mid 0 \le \ell \le L\}$, where L is large enough such that $\Delta_L \ll M^{2/5+\varepsilon}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- The support of the function is bounded:

$$w_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x \in \left[M_0 + \frac{\Delta_0}{4}, M_0 + \frac{3\Delta_0}{4}\right] \\ 0, & \text{when } x \le M_0 \\ & \text{or } x \ge M_0 + \Delta_0 \end{cases}$$

  and when $\ell > 0$,

$$w_\ell(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x \in [M_{\ell-1} + \Delta_{\ell-1}, M_{\ell+1}] \\ 0, & \text{when } x \le M_\ell \\ & \text{or } x \ge M_\ell + \Delta_\ell. \end{cases}$$

- The derivatives are assumed to satisfy the following condition

$$w_\ell^{(j)}(x) \ll (\Delta_\ell)^{-j}$$

  for $0 \le j \le J$ for some suitable value of J.

- On the interval
$$[M_{\ell+1}, M_\ell + \Delta_\ell]$$
the functions $w_\ell(x)$ and $w_{\ell+1}$ add to one:
$$w_{\ell+1}(x) = 1 - w_\ell(x).$$

Define $M_{-\ell}$, $\Delta_{-\ell}$ and $w_{-\ell}(x)$ symmetrically with respect to the line $x = M + \frac{\Delta}{2}$.

The situation will look like the following:

Use Corollary 13 to obtain the bound $\Delta_i^{1/6} M^{1/3+\varepsilon}$ on the interval $[M_i, M_i + \Delta_i]$. Summing these bounds together gives the total bound $\Delta^{1/6} M^{1/3+\varepsilon}$, as desired. Finally, define now a new function
$$W_0 = \sum_\ell w_\ell.$$
Notice that
$$\left| \sum_{M \le n \le \Delta} d(n) e(n\alpha) - \sum W_0(n) d(n) e(n\alpha) \right| \ll M^{2/5+\varepsilon},$$
which completes the proof of the theorem.

□

Lemma 15. *When $k \le M^{1/4}$, we have*
$$\Delta(M, h/k) \ll x^{3/8+\varepsilon} k^{1/4} + \min(x^\varepsilon k^2, x^{1/2-c_2})$$
for some positive constant c_2.

Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of [5] Lemma 2. □

Theorem 16. *For $\alpha = \frac{h}{k} + \eta$ with $k \le M^{1/4}$, $|\eta| \le k^{-1} M^{-1/4}$ and $\left(Mk^2\eta^2\right)^b \gg \log M$ for some $0 < b < \frac{1}{2}$ we have positive constants a and b such that*
$$D(x_1, x; \alpha) \ll M^{1/2} (k^2 \eta^2 M)^{-a}$$
for $|x - x_1| \ll |\eta|^{-1/2} M^{1/2+b}$ and $x, x_1 \asymp M$

Notice that the condition $\left(Mk^2\eta^2\right)^b \gg \log M$ excludes those α for which $\eta \ll (\log M)^{1/2b} k^{-1} M^{-1/2}$, and the total size of this set, whenever $k \le M^{1/4}$ is
$$\ll \sum_{1 \le k \le M^{1/4}} \sum_{1 \le h \le k} (\log M)^{1/2b} k^{-1} M^{-1/2} = \sum_{1 \le k \le M^{1/4}} (\log M)^{1/2b} M^{-1/2} \ll M^{-1/4+\varepsilon},$$
so the estimate holds for almost all α. We are now ready to move to the proof.

Proof. For simplicity. write
$$D(t, \alpha) = \sum_{n \le t} d(n) e(n\alpha).$$

We have

$$D(x_1,x;\alpha)=e(\eta x)D\left(x;\frac{h}{k}\right)-e(\eta x_1)D\left(x_1;\frac{h}{k}\right)-2\pi i\eta\int_{x_1}^{x}D\left(t;\frac{h}{k}\right)e(\eta t)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{4}$$

Write now

$$D\left(t;\frac{h}{k}\right)=k^{-1}(\log t+2\gamma-1-2\log k)t+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)+\Delta\left(t,\frac{h}{k}\right)$$

and similarly for $D\left(x;\frac{h}{k}\right)$ and $D\left(x_1;\frac{h}{k}\right)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}D(x_1,x;\alpha)&=e(\eta x)\left(k^{-1}(\log x+2\gamma-1-2\log k)x+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)+\Delta\left(x,\frac{h}{k}\right)\right)\\&\quad-e\left(\eta x_1\right)\left(k^{-1}(\log x_1+2\gamma-1-2\log k)x_1+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)+\Delta\left(x_1,\frac{h}{k}\right)\right)\\&\quad-2\pi i\eta\int_{x_1}^{x}\left(k^{-1}(\log t+2\gamma-1-2\log k)t+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)+\Delta\left(t,\frac{h}{k}\right)\right)e(\eta t)\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$e(\eta x)\Delta\left(x;\frac{h}{k}\right)-e(\eta x_1)\Delta\left(x_1;\alpha\right)-2\pi i\eta\int_{x_1}^{x}\Delta\left(t;\frac{h}{k}\right)e(\eta t)\mathrm{d}t$$

can be treated just like in the proof of Lemma 4 in [5] . The same technique yields

$$e(\eta x)\Delta\left(x;\frac{h}{k}\right)-e(\eta x_1)\Delta\left(x_1;\alpha\right)-2\pi i\eta\int_{x_1}^{x}\Delta\left(t;\frac{h}{k}\right)e(\eta t)\mathrm{d}t\ll M^{1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^{-a}$$

for some positive constant a. Therefore, it suffices to work with the main term and the $E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)$ term. First of all,

$$\begin{aligned}&-2\pi i\eta\int_{x_1}^{x}\left(k^{-1}t(\log t+2\gamma-1-2\log k)+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)\right)e(t\eta)\mathrm{d}t\\&-\left[k^{-1}t\left(\log t+2\gamma-1-2\log k\right)e(t\eta)+E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)e(t\eta)\right]_{x_1}^{x}+\int_{x_1}^{x}k^{-1}\left(\log t+1\right)e(t\eta)\mathrm{d}t\\&\quad=-k^{-1}x(\log x+2\gamma-1-2\log k)e(x\eta)+k^{-1}x_1(\log x_1+2\gamma-1-2\log k)e(x_1\eta)\\&\qquad-E\left(0,\frac{h}{k}\right)\left(e(x\eta)-e(x_1\eta)\right)+\int_{x_1}^{x}k^{-1}\left(\log t+2\gamma-2\log k\right)e(t\eta)\mathrm{d}t\end{aligned}$$

The first terms cancel out the main terms and the Esthermann zeta function term in (4), and therefore, it only remains to consider the integral

$$\int_{x_1}^{x}k^{-1}\left(\log t+2\gamma-2\log k\right)e(t\eta)\mathrm{d}t.$$

Now use the first derivative test to obtain the estimate

$$\int_{x_1}^{x}k^{-1}\left(\log t+2\gamma-2\log k\right)e(t\eta)\mathrm{d}t\ll\frac{\log M}{k\eta}\ll M^{1/2}\log M\left(Mk^2\eta^2\right)^{-1/2}$$

which proves the theorem. □

5. Proof of the approximate functional equation

Let us start with considering smoothed sums. Let w be a smooth weight function which satisfies the condition

$$\int_{M-JU+u}^{M+\Delta+JU-u} w(x)h(x)dx = U^{-J}\int_0^U \mathrm{d}u_1 \cdots \int_0^U \mathrm{d}u_J \int_M^{M+\Delta} h(x)dx$$

for any test function $h(x)$ with $u = u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_J$. Recall $U = \eta^{-1/2}M^{1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^d$ with d a very small fixed positive number. Also, J is a suitable large integer which will be defined later. The value of J will only depend on ε, and therefore, while some constants in some estimates will depend on the weight function, those constant can be chosen in such a way that they only depend on ε. Notice that since $k^2\eta^2 M \gg 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U = \eta^{-1/2}M^{1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^d &= \left(k\eta M^{1/2}\right)^{-1/2} k^{1/2}M^{1/4}M^{1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^d \\ &= k^{1/2}M^{3/4}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{d-1/4} \ll k^{1/2}M^{3/4} \ll M^{7/8}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\sum_{M-JU\le n\le M+\Delta+JU} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) - \sum_{M\le n\le M+\Delta} d(n)e(n\alpha)\right| \\ &\quad = \left|\sum_{M-JU\le n\le M} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) + \sum_{M+\Delta\le n\le M+\Delta+JU} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n)\right| \\ &\quad \le \left|\sum_{M-JU\le n\le M} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n)\right| + \left|\sum_{M+\Delta\le n\le M+\Delta+JU} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 16 gives the estimate $\ll M^{1/2}(k^2\eta^2 M)^{-a}$ for these sums.

Therefore, we can use the smoothed sum instead of the non-smoothed one. Let us now use a Voronoi type summation formula (1)

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{M\le n\le M+\Delta} d(n)e(n\alpha)w(n) = k^{-1}\int_M^{M+\Delta} w(x)e(\eta x)\left(\log x + 2\gamma - 2\log k\right)\mathrm{d}x + \\ &k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)\int_M^{M+\Delta}\left(-2\pi e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}\right)Y_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right) + 4e\left(\frac{n\bar{h}}{k}\right)K_0\left(4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)\right)w(x)e(\eta x)\mathrm{d}x \end{aligned}$$

The first term, and the term containing the K-Bessel function have already been treated in the Lemmas 8 and 10 (in Lemma 10, there is no weight function, but that does not matter: we get rid of the weight function in this case just by taking absolute values). Therefore, it is sufficient to concentrate on the term containing the Y-Bessel function. Let us write the Y-Bessel function as a sum of exponential terms (see e.g. [8] (5.11.7)):

$$Y_0(z) = \frac{-i}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}}\left(e^{z-\frac{1}{4}\pi} - e^{-z+\frac{1}{4}\pi}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi z}\right)^{3/2}\left(e^{z-\frac{1}{4}\pi} + e^{-z+\frac{1}{4}\pi}\right) + O\left(z^{-5/2}\right) \tag{5}$$

Substitute now $z = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{nx}}{k}$. The sum containing the integration over the last term (the error term) is very easy to treat:

$$k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)\int_M^{M+\Delta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)^{-5/4}\mathrm{d}x = \Delta k^{1/4}M^{-5/4}$$

We will now state some lemmas which are proved using partial integration, and which will be used later to handle the terms arising from the Voronoi-type transformation and the use of the asymptotic expansion of a Bessel function.

The first term will yield the main contribution, and it is the most demanding to treat. The rest of the terms can be treated similarly, and they are much more straightforward. Therefore, we only briefly collect here the methods and contributions.

The part containing $\left(\frac{1}{2\pi z}\right)^{3/2}\left(e^{z-\frac{1}{4}\pi}+e^{-z+\frac{1}{4}\pi}\right)$ can be estimated using Lemma 5, and the second derivative test ([9], Theorem 5.9), and the contribution will be $\ll 1+(k^2\eta^2M)^{\varepsilon}$.

The sum containing the integration over the term $\frac{-i}{(2\pi z)^{1/2}}e^{z-\frac{1}{4}\pi}$ can also be treated by Lemma 5, and it gives the contribution $\gg 1$. We may thus turn to the term yielding the main term. Substituting $z = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{nx}}{k}$ and plugging in the first term in the asymptotic expansion in the place of the Y-Bessel function yields the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&-k^{-1}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)\int_M^{M+\Delta} 2\pi e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}\right)\cdot\frac{i}{\left(2\pi 4\pi\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)^{1/2}}\cdot e\left(-2\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}+\frac{1}{8}\right)w(x)e(\eta x)\mathrm{d}x\\
&\quad = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2k}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n)e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}\right)n^{-1/4}\int_{M-JU}^{M+\Delta+JU} x^{-1/4}e\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{nx}}{k}+\eta x+\frac{1}{8}\right)w(x)\mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}$$

We first want to show that if c_3 is a large constant, then

$$k^{-1/2}\sum_{n\geq c_3k^2\eta^2M} d(n)e\left(-n\frac{\bar{h}}{k}\right)n^{-1/4}\int_{M-JU}^{M+\Delta+JU} w(x)x^{-1/4}e\left(\eta x-2\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)dx \ll 1.$$

To prove this bound, we first use Lemma 5 with $A(x)=w(x)x^{-1/4}$, $B(x)=\eta x-2\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}$, $A_0=M_{-1}^{-1/4}$, $A_1=U$, $b-a=\Delta+2JU$, $B_1=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{k\sqrt{M}}$ and $\varrho=M_{-1}$. This gives

$$\int_{M-JU}^{M+\Delta+JU} w(x)x^{-1/4}e\left(\eta x-2\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}\right)dx \ll M^{-1/4}U^{-P}k^PM^{P/2}n^{-P/2}(U+\Delta)$$

for any $P\leq J$. Substituting this estimate, the left-hand side is dominated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ll k^{-1/2}\sum_{n\geq ck^2\eta^2M} n^{\varepsilon-1/4-P/2}M^{-1/4+P/2}U^{-P}k^P\,(U+\Delta)\\
&\quad\ll (U+\Delta)\,k^{-1/2}M^{-1/4}\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^{\varepsilon-1/4}\left(\frac{\sqrt{M}k}{\sqrt{k^2\eta^2M}|\eta|^{-1/2}M^{1/2}\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^d}\right)^P\\
&\quad= (U+\Delta)\,k^{-1/2}M^{-1/4}\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^{\varepsilon-1/4}\left(\left(k^2\eta^2M\right)^d\sqrt{M\eta}\right)^{-P}\ll 1,
\end{aligned}$$

when P is sufficiently large.

$$-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2k}}\sum_{n\leq c_3k^2\eta^2M}d(n)e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}\right)n^{-1/4}\int_{M-JU}^{M+\Delta+JU}x^{-1/4}e\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{nx}}{k}+\eta x+\frac{1}{8}\right)w(x)\mathrm{d}x$$

Let us use the saddle point lemma to estimate the integral. The main term in the saddle point lemma only occurs if there is a saddle point term on the interval of integration. However, even if there is no saddle point, one can use the lemma. Let us now start with the case when there is a saddle point on the interval. Write $f(x)=-2\frac{\sqrt{nx}}{k}$. The saddle point is the point where

$$f'(x)+\eta=-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{x}k}+\eta=0,$$

that is, when $x_0=\frac{n}{k^2\eta^2}$. This point is on the interval when

$$M-JU\leq\frac{n}{k^2\eta^2}\leq M+\Delta+JU\Leftrightarrow k^2\eta^2(M-JU)\leq n\leq k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta+JU).$$

We will first calculate the main term in the saddle point lemma. Notice that

$$f(x_0)+\eta x_0=-2\frac{\sqrt{nx_0}}{k}+\eta x_0=-\frac{n}{\eta k^2}$$

and

$$f''(x_0)=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2x_0^{3/2}k}=\frac{\eta^3k^2}{2n}$$

The saddle point lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{M-JU}^{M+\Delta+JU}x^{-1/4}e\left(-\frac{2\sqrt{nx}}{k}+\eta x+\frac{1}{8}\right)w(x)\mathrm{d}x&=\tilde{w}(n)\left(\frac{n}{k^2\eta^2}\right)^{-1/4}\left(\frac{\eta^3k^2}{2n}\right)^{-1/2}e\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{n}{\eta k^2}\right)\\&=\tilde{w}(n)\sqrt{2}e\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{n}{\eta k^2}\right)\frac{n^{1/4}}{\eta\sqrt{k}}+O\left(\frac{k^{3/2}}{n^{3/4}}+\delta(n)\frac{M^{1/4}k}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\\&+O\left(M^{-1/4}U^{-J}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left(\left|\eta-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M-jU}k}\right|+\frac{n^{1/4}}{\sqrt{k}M^{3/4}}\right)^{-J-1}\right)\\&+O\left(M^{-1/4}U^{-J}\sum_{j=1}^{J}\left(\left|\eta-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{M+\Delta+jU}k}\right|+\frac{n^{1/4}}{\sqrt{k}M^{3/4}}\right)^{-J-1}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{w}(n)=0\text{ and }\delta(n)=0 & \text{if } n\leq k^2\eta^2(M-JU)\text{ or }n\geq k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta+JU)\\ \tilde{w}(n)=1\text{ and }\Delta(n)=1 & \text{if } k^2\eta^2M\leq n\leq k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta)\\ \tilde{w}(n)\ll 1,\ \tilde{w}'(n)\ll k^2\eta^2U-1\text{ and}\delta(n) & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

We may now calculate the sum over the main terms:

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2k}}\sum_{k^2\eta^2(M-JU)\leq n\leq k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta+JU)}\tilde{w}(n)d(n)e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}\right)n^{-1/4}\cdot\sqrt{2}e\left(\frac{1}{8}-\frac{n}{\eta k^2}\right)\frac{n^{1/4}}{\eta\sqrt{k}}\\=\frac{1}{k\eta}\sum_{k^2\eta^2(M-JU)\leq n\leq k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta+JU)}\tilde{w}(n)d(n)e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k}-\frac{n}{\eta k^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

When $k^2\eta^2 M \ll n \ll k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta)$, ie. when $\tilde{w}(n) = 1$, this yields the main term in the approximate functional equation. When n is not on this interval, these sums contribute to error terms. Estimating them is rather simple. Since the length of the sum can be estimated to be

$$k^2\eta^2 U = k^2\eta^2(k^2\eta^2 M)^d \eta^{-1/2} M^{1/2} = (k^2\eta^2 M)^{d+1}(\eta M)^{-1/2} \ll (k^2\eta^2 M)^{1/2+d} \ll (k^2\eta^2 M)^{5/8},$$

and therefore, we may use Theorem 14 and partial integration to estimate the sum:

$$\frac{1}{k\eta} \sum_{k^2\eta^2(M-JU)\le n\le k^2\eta^2(M)} \tilde{w}(n) d(n) e\left(\frac{-n\bar{h}}{k} - \frac{n}{\eta k^2}\right) \ll \frac{1}{k\eta}\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{1/3+\varepsilon}\left(k^2\eta^2 U\right)^{1/6}$$
$$\ll M^{1/2}(k^2\eta^2 M)^{\varepsilon+d/6-1/12}.$$

The sum on the interval $[k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta), k^2\eta^2(M+\Delta+JU)]$ can be estimated similarly. We still need to treat the error terms arising from the use of the saddle point lemma. The first error term is very easy to estimate:

$$k^{-1/2} \sum_{1\le n\le k^2\eta^2(M+\delta+JU)} n^{\varepsilon-1/4}\left(\frac{k^{3/2}}{n^{3/4}} + \delta(n)\frac{M^{1/4}k}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ll k\left(k^2\eta^2 M\right)^{\varepsilon+d}$$

The sums containing the two other error terms are estimated in Lemma 2.8 in [1] by chopping the sums into three pieces depending on which part of the error term is the dominating one. This yields a total error of $\sqrt{M}(k^2\eta^2 M)^{\varepsilon-Jd}$. Since we may choose J as large as we wish to ensure that $\varepsilon - Jd < -a$, this proves the theorem.

References

[1] A.-M. Ernvall-Hytönen. On the error term in the approximate functional equation for exponential sums related to cusp forms. *International Journal of Number Theory*, 4(5), 2008.

[2] A.-M. Ernvall-Hytönen and K. Karppinen. On short exponential sums involving Fourier coefficients of holomorphic cusp forms. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (10):Art. ID. rnn022, 44, 2008.

[3] M. Jutila. On exponential sums involving the divisor function. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 355:173–190, 1985.

[4] M. Jutila. *Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums*, volume 80 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*. Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1987.

[5] M. Jutila. On exponential sums involving the Ramanujan function. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 97(1-3):157–166 (1988), 1987.

[6] M. Jutila and Y. Motohashi. Uniform bound for Hecke L-functions. *Acta Math.*, 195:61–115, 2005.

[7] K. Karppinen. *Exponential Sums Relating to Cusp Forms (Finnish)*. University of Turku, 1998. Licenciate thesis.

[8] N. N. Lebedev. *Special Functions and Their Applications*. Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1972. Revised edition, translated from the Russian and edited by Richard A. Silverman.

[9] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*. Oxford, at the Clarendon Press, 1951.

[10] J. R. Wilton. An approximate functional equation with applications to a problem of Diophantine approximation. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 169:219–237, 1933.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, Finland